College of Charleston

1999-2000 Performance Year Score

81%

Sector: Four-Year Colleges and Universities

2.44 out of a Maximum of 3.00

ACHIEVES STANDARDS

See Interpreting Scores at bottom of page

Performance Score Summary

Total Applicable Indicators (incl. 2 now assessed within other indicators.) 36 Indicators Exceeded Standards (or received scores of 3) on 11 Indicators Achieved Standards (or received scores of 2.00-2.99) on 7 Indicators Did Not Achieve Standards (or received scores of 1.00-1.99) on 3 Indicators Achieved Compliance (or received scores of "Complied") on 7 Indicators Evaluated in Years Other Than Performance Year 1999-00 6 Indicators

College of Charleston At A Glance

Alexander M. Sanders, Jr., President

66 George Street

Charleston, SC 29424

(843) 953-5507 www.cofc.edu

Founded in 1770

For link to institution's mission, see www.che400.state.sc.us and select "Performance Funding"



Enrollment			
Headcount incl. full & part time students	11,624 84% of headcnt Undergraduate 66% of headcnt from SC at entry 11% of headcnt Minority	Full-Time Faculty	430 incl. those holding academic rank & primary assignment of instruction, research or public service. (IPEDS)
Full-Time	8,839 (76% of headcnt)	Tuition Academic Year	\$3,520 In State, Full-Time Student \$7,210 Out of State, Full-Time Student
SAT	1106 (1st-time entering freshmen,	1999-00	(incl. required tuition and fees,
Average	incl. converted ACT scores)		IPEDS Inst. Characteristics Survey)
Degrees	N/A Associates	Financial	\$87.6 Total Revenue, excl. auxiliary
Awarded	1,742 Bachelor's	Dollars In Millions	\$89.6 Total Educ. & General Expend.
FY 1998-99	155 Master's N/A First Professional	FY 1998-99	excl. auxiliary (IPEDS Finance Survey)

N/A Specialist N/A Doctoral

(Fall 1999 data unless noted otherwise)

Interpreting Scores

Comparing the average score on applicable indicators to the maximum 3.00 possible produces the percentage score shown in the upper right hand column. Institutions within the same sector whose percentage is in the same range as shown below are considered to to be performing at similar levels.

If Percent or Overall Score Range is:

If Percent or Overall Score Range is:	Performance Category is:						
95% to 100% or 2.85 to 3.00	Substantially Exceeds						
87% to 94% or 2.60 to 2.84	Exceeds						
67% to 86% or 2.00 to 2.59	Achieves						
48% to 66% or 1.45 to 1.99	Does Not Achieve						
33% to 47% or 1.00 to 1.44	Substantially Does Not Achieve						

SC Commission on Higher Education's Ratings for the 1999-00 Performance Year to impact Fiscal Year 2000-01 Approved May 4, 2000. Report prepared by the Commission's Division of Planning, Assessment & Perf. Funding 1333 Main St., Suite 200 * Columbia, SC 29201 * (803) 737-2260 * www.che400.state.sc.us

PERFORMANCE FUNDING IN SC: "Performance Funding" began in SC with the ratification of Act 359 of 1996, effective July 1, 1996. Act 359 required that the State's coordinating board for higher education (SC Commission on Higher Education or "CHE") measure annually each public institution's performance in various areas and base allocation of state appropriated dollars on performance. A 3-year, phase-in period was provided for CHE to design a system that translated indicators specified in legislation to measures and to provide a process translating performance to dollars. CHE worked with the business and higher education communities to design the current system which has evolved since its initial conceptualization. During the phase-in period as the system and indicators were defined, only selected indicators were used to determine a percentage of dollars received. Last year, CHE determined each institution's allocation for FY 1999-00 based on performance. The system continues to undergo refinements as measures and data are better understood. In the years ahead, standards set for SC's institutions will continue to increase in an effort to ensure and improve the quality of SC's public institutions of higher education so they will be globally competitive.

For the 1999-00 performance year, the SC Commission on Higher Education (CHE) rated each institution's performance on a set of measures approved by the CHE. Scores of 1 to 3 were awarded for performance on indicators or indicator subparts based on standards set last spring. Some standards were set by institutions and approved by CHE while others were set by CHE and were the same for all institutions. CHE, in limited cases, scored performance based on institutional appeals during the scoring process.

The range required for an institution to receive a score of 2 is shown below. Because of the method used to set standards, this range varies across indicators and institutions. Indicators where CHE considered an appeal and awarded scores based on that appeal rather than the range are noted. Applicable indicators for an institution depend on the institution's sector mission and individual characteristics as indicators are defined similarly for all institutions. To determine the overall score, the scores on applicable indicators are averaged. For indicators with multiple subparts, the subparts are scored and then averaged together to produce a single indicator score that contributes to the overall score. If the score for an indicator or subpart is:

- 3 The institution "Exceeds" the performance standard set for the institution or group of institutions or is at or above a standard identified to indicate a level beyond which continuous improvement is not expected.
- 2 The institution "Achieves" the performance standard set for the institution or group of institutions as indicated by the ranges shown below.
- 1 The institution "Does Not Achieve" the performance standard set for the institution or group of institutions.

Indicators Requiring Compliance - Some indicators require that an institution comply with a set of practices or policies. If an institution is in compliance the performance is scored "Complied" and no numeric score contributes to the final average. If an institution fails to comply, the institution receives a score of 1 for noncompliance. Indicators "On Cycle" - Some indicators are assessed at two or three year intervals.

Critical Success Factor / Indicator "Key" Number /		(Time Period	Institution's Performance		1999-00 Standard for "Achieves" or "2"	N O	1999-00 Performance Score		
Descriptive Measurement Title			Measured This Yr)	Prior Yr '98-99	This Yr '99-00	(1 if below (3 if above this #) this #)	T E S	Subpart	Indicator
MISSION FOCUS	1A	general expenditures. Expend. Category is "Scholarships"	(FY 98-99)	2.8%	2.6%	3.2% to 3.4%			1.00
	1B	Curricula offered to achieve mission measured as the % of programs appropriate to degree level, supported by mission, & with full approval in most recent CHE program review.	(Spring 2000)	Not Avail	100%	95% to 99% or not more than one not approved			3.00
NISSIO	1C	Approval of a mission statement. CHE approves once every 5 years with changes being assessed in the interim.	(initial '98)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliance required or a score of 1 is awarded.			Complied
2	1D	Adoption of a strategic plan.	(FY 98-99)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliance required or a score of 1 is awarded.			Complied
	1E	Attainment of strategic plan goals.	(FY 97-98)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliance required or a score of 1 is awarded.			Complied
	2A	Academic and other credentials of professors and ins	tructors :						3.00
		% headcount faculty teaching undergrads meeting Southern Assoc. of Colleges & Schools requirements	(Fall 1999)	100.0%	100.0%	97.0% to 99.9%	(2)	3	
LTY		% headcount faculty with terminal degree teaching undergraduate courses.	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	Not Avail	N/A, Compliance measures for this year only (new measure		Complied	
QUALITY OF FACULTY		2b % full-time faculty with terminal degree teaching undergraduate courses	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	Not Avail	that required collection of data during this year)		Complied	
OF	2B	Faculty performance review system. Assessed every 3 years.		100%	On Cycle	Not assessed this year. Assessed next in Spring 2002.			On Cycle
4LITY	2C	Faculty post tenure review system. Assessed every 3 years.		100%	On Cycle	Not assessed this year. Assessed next in Spring 2002.			On Cycle
) O	2D Average faculty compensation by rank :								3.00
		1a Instructor	(Fall 1999)	\$31,497	\$33,840	\$31,749 to \$32,069	(2)	3	
		1b Assistant Professor	(Fall 1999)	\$38,105	\$40,114	\$39,234 to \$40,026	(2)	3	
		1c Associate Professor	(Fall 1999)	\$46,877	\$49,744	\$47,800 to \$48,766	(2)	3	
		1d Professor	(Fall 1999)	\$57,376	\$60,898	\$58,506 to \$59,688	(2)	3	

NOTES:

- (1) A downward trend is expected. If performance is lower than the low end of the range (# on right side of range shown) a 3 is awarded.
- (2) A standard has been identified for this indicator at which continued performance is not expected and a score of 3 is awarded. If applicable, the range shown for a score of "2" has been adjusted to take into account this standard. The levels identified are as follows: 2A1=100%; 2D1a=\$32,070; 2D1b=\$41,730; 2D1c=\$50,642; and 2D1d=\$62,864

College of Charleston

Performance Year 1999-00

Critical Success Factor / Indicator "Key" Number / Descriptive Measurement Title		(Time Period	Institution's Performance		1999-00 Standard for "Achieves" or "2"		N O	ll .	9-00 nce Score		
		Measured This Yr)	Prior Yr '98-99	This Yr '99-00	(I if below this #)	(3 if above this #)	T E S	Subpart	Indicator		
<u>(†)</u>	2E	Avai	ilability of faculty to students outside the classroo	om as based	on standard	survey que	stions:				On Cycle
FACULTY (con't)		1	% classroom faculty rated satisfied on availability. Assessed every 2 years.		95%	On Cycle	Not assesse Assessed next	•		On Cycle	
:ULTY		2	% advisors rated satisfied on availability. Assessed every 2 years.		78%	On Cycle	Not assesse Assessed next	ed this year. in Spring 2001.		On Cycle	
Community and public service activities of faculty for which no extra compensation is paid. This measure has been incorporated into the measurement of Indicator 2B.											
	3A	Clas	ss size and student /teacher ratios :				(Expected Ra	anges for 3A)			3.00
	•	1a	lower division class size	(Fall 1999)	28.4	28.5	20 to	,			0.00
		1b	upper division class size	(Fall 1999)	22.7	23.4	15 to			in range	
		2a	% undergrad lecture sections of 50 & up	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	3.8%	0% to				
				,						in range	
_		2b	% lower division lecture of 100 & up	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	0.0%	0% to				
;		3	FTE students per FTE teaching faculty	(Fall 1999)	17.7	17.5	14 to	19		in range	
	3B	teac	rage # credit hours taught by full-time faculty thing at least 3 hours.	(Fall 1999)	252	250	240 to	254	(2)		2.00
	3C		o of full-time faculty as compared to other full- employees.	(Fall 1999)	44.4%	42.1%	28.7% to		(2)		3.00
2	3D	Accı	reditation of degree granting programs.	(Spring 2000)	57%	86%		or all but 1 gram	(3)		2.00
3	3E	Insti	tutional emphasis on quality teacher education a	and reform :							1.75
)		1	NCATE accreditation	(Spring 2000)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliand score of 1 i		(4)	Complied	
		2a	% students passing NTE or PRAXIS II - Professional Knowledge	(4/1/98 - 3/31/99)	98.8%	99.4%	95.1% to	99.9%		2	
		2b	% students passing NTE or PRAXIS II - Specialty Area Exams	(4/1/98 - 3/31/99)	84.3%	83.6%	81.9% to	86.9%		2	
		3a	% teacher ed. grads in critical shortage areas	(FY 98-99)	24%	24%	24% to	24%		2	
		3b	% teacher ed. grads who are minority	(FY 98-99)	Not Avail	8%	10% to	10%		1	
OLLABORATION	4 A	equi with	ring and use of technology, programs, ipment, supplies and source matter experts in the institution, with other institutions, and/or the business community. Assessed every 3 s.		Complied	On Cycle	Not assesse Assessed next	•			On Cycle
COLLA	4B		peration and collaboration with private industry. essed every 3 years.		Complied	On Cycle	Not assesse Assessed next	•			On Cycle
	5A		o of administrative expenditures to academic enditures, expressed as a %.	(FY 98-99)	19.9%	20.4%	24.9% to	24.3%	(1) (2)		3.00
EFFICIENCY	5B	exte	of best management practices measured by ent of incorporation of identified best practices. essed every 2 years.		100%	On Cycle	Not assesse Assessed next	,			On Cycle
EFFICI	5C	Elim adm	ination of unjustified duplication of and waste in inistrative and academic programs. Assessed by 3 years.	(FY 99-00)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliand score of 1 i	ce required or a s awarded.			Complied
	5D	Gen	ieral overhead expenditures per full-time ivalent student.	(FY 98-99)	\$940	\$990	\$1,366 to	\$1,327	(1) (2)		3.00
75	6A		scores of entering freshmen, % with 1000 7/20 ACT or higher.	(Fall 1999)	88.6%	86.9%	58.2% to	59.9%	(2)		3.00
MEN	6B	% e	ntering freshmen with high school rank in top o or 3.0 GPA or higher on a 4.0 scale.	(Fall 1999)	74.3%	78.5%	69.8% to	74.2%	(2)		3.00
REQUIREMENTS	6C	acad	cy for considering post-secondary non- demic achievements of non-traditional students, pliance with CHE guidelines.	(as of Spring 2000)	Complied	Complied	N/A, compliand score of 1 i	ce required or a s awarded.			Complied
RE	6D		rity on enrolling in-state students, based on se considered in-state for fee purposes.	(Fall 1999)	66.5%	63.9%	64.6% to	68.6%	(2)		1.00
OTF.			A downward trend is expected. If performance] 2 ia awardaa	

NOTES: See last page for notes after

(3).

⁽¹⁾ A downward trend is expected. If performance is lower than the low end of the range (# on right side of range shown) a 3 is awarded.

 ⁽²⁾ A standard has been identified for this indicator at which continued performance is not expected and a score of 3 is awarded. If applicable, the range shown for a score of "2" has been adjusted to take into account this standard. The levels identified are as follows: 3B=260*; 3C=29.6%; 3E3a=25%*;3E3b=20%*; 5A=24.2%; 5D=\$1,326; 6A=60%; 6B=75%*; 6D=80% (* temporary level approved for current year)
 (3) Percentage reflects both programs accredited and on track for accreditation to be awarded by April 2002.

Critical Success Factor / Indicator "Key" Number /		(Time Period Measured This Yr)	Institution's Performance		1999-00 Standard for "Achieves" or "2"			1999-00 Performance Sco		
Descriptive Measurement Title			Prior Yr		(I if below this #)	(3 if above this #)	E	Subpart	Indicator	
	7A	Graduation rate :								2.00
		1 150% of program time, considers 1st-time, full-time, degree-seeking students.	1993 cohort	51.9%	51.8%	50.4%	to 53.6%		2	
		 150% of program time, excluding those enrolled in 2 or more developmental courses 1st semester (applies to Tech Colleges only). 	same cohort as 7A1	N/A	N/A	N/A	to N/A		N/A	
	7B	Employment and education rate for graduates (Asses	ssed every 2	years) :		-		=	-	Complied
ENTS		system for tracking undergraduates on members or continued education with response rate of 20%		Not Avail	Complied		ance required or a 1 is awarded.	a	Complied	
EVEN		2 % graduates either employed or enrolled at a more advanced level		Not Avail	On Cycle	collected. V	sed while data is Will be assessed 1 every 2 years		On Cycle	
GRADUATES' ACHIEVEMENTS		3 % graduates employed within 1 year		Not Avail	On Cycle	starting with I	Performance Yea 999-00.	ar	On Cycle	
.S:	7C	Employer feedback on graduates (Assessed every 2	years) :							Complied
UATE		process for surveying employers who interview or hire prospective graduates		Not Avail	Complied	score of	ance required or a 1 is awarded.	a	Complied	
GRAE		employers' level of satisfaction with graduates interviewed		Not Avail	On Cycle	collected. \	sed while data is Will be assessed 1 every 2 years		On Cycle	
)		3 employers' satisfaction with employees		Not Avail	On Cycle	starting with I	Performance Yea	ar	On Cycle	
	7D	% of students passing professional examinations.	(4/1/98 - 3/31/99)	89.5%	91.0%	86.9%	to 92.3%			2.00
	7E	7E Number of graduates continuing their education. This measure has been incorporated into the measurement of Indicator 7B.								
	7F	Average credit hours earned compared to average required for program completed of students earning bachelor's degrees.	(Degrees earned in 1998-99)	105%	106%	113%	to 111%	(1) (2)		3.00
	8A	Transferability of credits to and from the institution (extent of compliance with CHE guidelines)	(Spring 2000)	100%	100%	97%	to 99%	(2)		3.00
INSTITUTION	8B	Continuing education programs for graduates and others measured as total CEU's produced in FY. Applicable if CEU production >= 1000.	(FY 98-99)	N/A	N/A	N/A	to N/A			N/A
101	8C Accessibility to the institution of all citizens of the state :								2.50	
INSTITUTION		wno are minority (neadcount)	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	16.2%	15.3%	to 16.3%	(2)	2	
: Z		undergrads who are minority.	(Fall '98 to Fall '99)	Not Avail	82.3%	79.3%	to 84.3%	(2)	2	
		% graduate students who are minority (headcount) % teaching faculty who are minority	(Fall 1999)	9.2%	13.5%	8.9%	to 9.5%		3	
		4 (headcount)	(Fall 1999)	Not Avail	6.9%	6.2%	to 6.6%		3	
NG.	9A	Financial support for reform in teacher education measured as FY research expenditures for teacher education compared to most recent 3-yr average.	(FY 99 to Avg FYs 96, 97, 98)	92.4%	103.0%	80%	to 119%			2.00
FUNDING	9A 9B	Public and private sector grants measured as FY restricted research expenditures compared to most recent 3-yr Average. (Applicable if >= \$1 million in annual expenditures)	(FY 99 to Avg FYs 96, 97, 98)	104.0%	95.4%	95%	to 104%			2.00
nary	Ва	ased on data in the far right column, "1999-00 Perf Applicable Indicators 36 (including two that are as Exceeded standards (scores of 3) on 11 indicator	ssessed w		ndicators).	# of ind	Subtota licators average		51.25 21	
Summary		Achieved Standards (scores of 2.00 to 2.99) on 7 Did Not Achieve Standards (scores of 1.00 to 1.9 Achieved Compliance on 7 indicators. 6 indicators	7 indicators 99) on 3 in	dicators.		Ave	Averag rage / 3.00 Ma Category	x:	2.44 81% ACHIEVES	

NOTES: (1) A downward trend is expected. If performance is lower than the low end of the range (# on right side of range shown) a 3 is awarded.

- (2) A standard has been identified for this indicator at which continued performance is not expected and a score of 3 is awarded. If applicable, the range shown for a score of "2" has been adjusted to take into account this standard. The levels identified are as follows: 7F=110%; 8A=100%; 8C1=28.7%; and 8C2=85%* (* temporary level approved for current year)
- from p. 3 (4) Undergraduate programs approved; Graduate programs not approved (October 1999)